

5 respostas


[Ver todas as respostas](#)[Publicar estatísticas](#)

Resumo

[Imagem]

Partner/Coordinating

Select




1. Universidade do Porto - Portugal	0	0%
2. Istituto Omicomprensivo "Ridolfi- Zimarino" - Italy	1	20%
3. I Spoleczne Liceum Ogolnoksztalcace im Unii Europejskiej w Zamosciu - Poland	0	0%
4. Branston Junior Academy - United Kingdom	1	20%
5. Confederacion Espanola de Centros de Ensenanza Asociacion C.E.C.E. - Spain	1	20%
6. Gemeente 's-Hertogenbosch - Netherlands	2	40%
7. Coordinating Organisation	0	0%


Please tick the answers below

1. The Agenda


Appropriate definition of aims and objectives planned for the meeting?




Realistic timetable?




Needs and expectations of partners were taken into account?




Excellent: 5 **3** 60%**Comment****2. Organisation and logistics****Effective mix of activities? (e. g. ice-breakers, discussions, meals)**

Insufficient: 1 **0** 0%
 2 **0** 0%
 3 **0** 0%
 4 **2** 40%
 Excellent: 5 **3** 60%

The meeting venue?


Insufficient: 1 **0** 0%
 2 **0** 0%
 3 **1** 20%
 4 **2** 40%
 Excellent: 5 **2** 40%

Equipment & materials?



Insufficient: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	60%
4	1	20%
Excellent: 5	1	20%

Logistics? (of daily activities, transportation & accommodation)




Insufficient: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	60%
Excellent: 5	2	40%

Comment

My apologies for some taxis not having right number of seats despite me asking for them.
And my apologies for secondary school not having wi fi for guests.


3. Results

Achievement of aims and objectives planned for the meeting?



Insufficient: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	60%
Excellent: 5	2	40%

Agreement on next activities, milestones and partners' tasks?




Insufficient: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	60%
Excellent: 5	2	40%

Comment


4. Partnership

Your opinion about the effectiveness of the transnational collaboration (where there were shared tasks) and participation in activities?




4 **2** 40%
 Excellent: 5 **3** 60%

Partners had the opportunity to contribute with their own expertise?



Insufficient: 1 **0** 0%
 2 **0** 0%
 3 **0** 0%
 4 **2** 40%
 Excellent: 5 **3** 60%

Needs and expectations of partners had been taken into account?




Insufficient: 1 **0** 0%
 2 **0** 0%
 3 **0** 0%
 4 **2** 40%

Excellent: 5 **3** 60%

Comment


5. Work collaboration

Was there active listening and cooperation? (coordinator to partner, partner to partner)



Insufficient: 1 **0** 0%
 2 **0** 0%
 3 **1** 20%
 4 **1** 20%
 Excellent: 5 **3** 60%


Was there information sharing and mutual understanding amongst partners?




Insufficient: 1 **0** 0%
 2 **0** 0%
 3 **1** 20%
 4 **1** 20%
 Excellent: 5 **3** 60%

Comment

this could be more - but this was only the second meeting.

6. Effectiveness of participation and commitment of partners

Insufficient: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	4	80%
Excellent: 5	1	20%

Comment**7. Tolerance, freedom to express, respect of views**

Insufficient: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	40%
Excellent: 5	3	60%

Comment**Official Declaration****[Imagem]****Número de respostas diárias**